

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 Case No.: 3:20-cv-00104-MMD-WGC

4 DAVID ARY,  
5 Plaintiff

**Order**

Re: ECF Nos. 31, 36, 37

6 v.

7 ANDREW SAUL,  
8 Commissioner of Social Security  
9 Administration,  
10 Defendant

11 Plaintiff filed a motion to correct his motion for reversal and/or remand, noting that there  
12 is an incorrect mathematical computation, and he provides the correct computation. (ECF No.  
13 31.) Plaintiff's motion to correct this computation in his motion is **GRANTED**.

14 Plaintiff has also filed a motion requesting an extension of time to file a response to The  
15 Commissioner's counter-motion to affirm, and also requests that the Commissioner produce a  
16 copy of a case referenced in their motion, *Saenz v. Astrue*. (ECF Nos. 36, 37.) The Commissioner  
17 filed a response, indicating that the Commissioner does not object to the requested extension, and  
18 provided Plaintiff with a copy of the requested case law. (ECF No. 38.)

19 Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 36) is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff has up  
20 to and including **May 3, 2021** to file and serve his response to the Commissioner's counter-  
21 motion.<sup>1</sup> **There will be no further extensions absent extraordinary circumstances.**

22  
23 <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff implies that the Commissioner's filing of a response to his motion and counter-motion,  
which are identical was improper; however, the arguments asserted in response to his motion and  
in their counter-motion are the same, but the rules require that the documents be docketed

1 In addition, the Commissioner has kindly provided Plaintiff with the requested case law,  
2 even though the Commissioner was under no obligation to do so; therefore, Plaintiff's motion for  
3 an order that the defense produce the case of *Saenz v. Astrue* (ECF No. 37) is **DENIED AS**  
4 **MOOT.**

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: March 3, 2021

7 

8 William G. Cobb  
9 United States Magistrate Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 \_\_\_\_\_  
separately because one is a response and the other seeks affirmative relief as a counter-motion to  
affirm. Therefore, there is no impropriety with the filings.